Serial No. : 10/021,368

Filed: December 12, 2001

Page : 7 of 10

REMARKS

The present amendment is being filed together with a Request for Continued Examination (RCE). In view of the RCE, Applicant respectfully requests entry of the present amendment and any amendments previously filed but not entered.

Upon entry of the amendment submitted January 24, 2005, and the amendment presented above, claims 69-75, 82, 83, and 100-108 will be pending in the application. Claims 94 and 96-99 are canceled by the amendment submitted January 24, 2005, and claims 84-93, 95, and 109-119 are canceled by the above amendment. The specification is amended to correct typographical errors and to add a reference to a patent that issued from a related application. The attached printout from PAIR, the website of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, provides evidence that the error in the priority claim is typographical. The printout reports that the current application (U.S.S.N. 10/021,368) and U.S.S.N. 09/605,175 both claim the benefit of U.S.S.N. 09/201,038, which is properly cited in the priority claim. No new matter has been added by the amendments.

For the record, and in reference to #2 on form PTOL-303, the Advisory Action dated March 11, 2005 (herein, "the Advisory Action"), it is incorrect that Applicant's reply to the final Office action was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal. Applicant filed a response to the final Office action on January 24, 2005, and subsequently filed a Notice of Appeal on February 22, 2005. The Notice of Appeal was received by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on February 24, 2005, and the present amendment and RCE are being filed within the time limit set by the Notice of Appeal.

Obviousness-type Double Patenting

In the comments attached to the Advisory Action, the Examiner states (at page 2):

Claims 69-75, and 82-95 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 and 10-14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,152,387 (387') for the reasons set forth in the prior Office action, mailed December 19, 2003 ...

Serial No. : 10/021,368

Filed: December 12, 2001

Page : 8 of 10

Upon entry of the after-final amendment filed January 27, 2005, claims 69-75, 82-95 and 100-119 will remain rejected.

In response, Applicant notes that claims 84-95 are canceled by the amendment submitted January 24, 2005, and the amendment presented above. Further, Applicant submits herewith a Terminal Disclaimer in compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.321(c) and 37 C.F.R. §3.73(b) to overcome the rejection of claims 69-75, 82, and 83. Accordingly, this ground for rejection should now be withdrawn.

35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 1

Written Description: In the comments attached to the Advisory Action, the Examiner states (at pages 2-3; emphasis added):

Claim (sic.) 69-75, 82-95 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention for the reasons set forth in the prior Office action mailed December 19, 2003. Upon entry of the after-final amendment, this rejection will be mooted.

With the filing of the RCE, the after-final amendment should now be entered and should, as the Examiner stated, render moot the rejection of claims 69-75, 82, and 83. By way of the present amendment, claims 84-95 have been canceled. Thus, the rejection based on an alleged lack of an adequate written description should be withdrawn.

The claims that have been canceled or otherwise amended were canceled or amended solely in the interest of obtaining a Notice of Allowance. Applicant's actions are not an admission that the canceled subject matter is not patentable, and Applicant expressly reserves the right to pursue claims to the original subject matter, or to any subject matter supported by the present specification, without prejudice.

Serial No.: 10/021,368

Filed: December 12, 2001

Page : 9 of 10

Enablement: In the comments attached to the Advisory Action, the Examiner states (page 3):

Claim (sic.) 69-75, and 82-95 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for lack of enablement for the reasons set forth in the prior Office action mailed December 19, 2003.

Upon entry of the amendment, claims 69-75, 82, 83, and 100-108 overcome this rejection, whereas claims 84-95 and 109-119 will be rejected.

The claims that "will be rejected", claims 84-95 and 109-119, have been canceled by the present amendment. Thus, this ground for rejection is now moot and should be withdrawn.

35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 2

Claims 84-95 were rejected as being indefinite. In the Advisory Action, however, the Examiner states, "[u]pon entry of the amendment filed January 27, 2005, this rejection will be obviated" (Advisory Action at page 3).

With the filing of the RCE, the after-final amendment should now be entered and should, as the Examiner stated, obviate the rejection of claims 84-95, which are canceled by the present amendment. Thus, the rejection based on lack of clarity should now be withdrawn.

Serial No.: 10/021,368

Filed: December 12, 2001

Page : 10 of 10

CONCLUSION

In view of the submission of the Terminal Disclaimer and the amendments that should now be entered, Applicant contends the present claims are now in condition for allowance, which action is respectfully requested.

A \$65 check for the Terminal Disclaimer fee required under 37 C.F.R. §1.20(d); a \$510 check for a 3:-month petition for extension of time; and a \$395 check for the RCE fee required under 37 C.F.R. §1.114 are enclosed. Any other necessary charges or credits can be applied to Deposit Account No. 06-1050, with reference to Attorney Docket No. 00398-100005.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 22 2005

Lee Crews, Ph.D. Reg. No. 43,567

Fish & Richardson P.C. 225 Franklin Street Boston, MA 02110

Telephone: (617) 542-5070 Facsimile: (617) 542-8906

21126326.doc



United States Patent and Trademark Office

Home | Site Index | Search | FAQ | Glossary | Guides | Contacts | eBusiness | eBiz alerts | News | Help



PATENT APPLICATION



DE MARKS	01,038	_	Status: Patented Case	11-09-2000	Location: FILE REPOSITORY (FRANCONIA)	04-26-2005	•	•	6,153,387
PATENT APPLICATION INFORMATION RETRIEVAL	ation number:09/2	Customer Number:	Status:	Status Date: 11-09-2000	Location:	Location Date: 04-26-2005	Earliest Publication No:	Earliest Publication Date:	Patent Number: 6,153,387
TION RETRIEVAL	Search results for application number:09/201,038	09/201,038	11-30-1998	Utility	Examiner Name: NASHED, NASHAAT T	1652	1057	00398/100003	435/006
ATION INFORMATION RETRIEVAL	S	Application Number: 09/201,038	Filing or 371(c) Date: 11-30-1998	Application Type: Utility	Examiner Name:	Group Art Unit: 1652	Confirmation Number: 1057	Attorney Docket 00398/100003	Class/ Sub-Class: 435/006

FAQ

PAIR

Help

Feedback

Select Search Option

Title Of Invention: NES-1 POLYPEPTIDES, DNA, AND RELATED MOLECULES AND METHODS

Issue Date of Patent: 11-28-2000

First Named Inventor: (US)

Class/Subclass GAU Information

Information Contacts

Employee

E-Business Center Patents

Other Links

File History	Search Search	Ē
Maintenance Fees - Retrieve fees to pay		
Maintenance Fees - View payment windows		
Maintenance Statement - View 04 year payment window		
Maintenance Statement - View 08 year payment window		
Maintenance Statement - View 12 year payment window	<u>D'</u>	

Maintenance Fees Available: Mon-Fri 5:30 AM to Midnight, Sat-Sun-Hol. 7:30 AM to 8:00 PM E.T.

	Parent Continuit	tinuity Data	
Description	Parent Number	Parent Filing or 371(c) Date	Parent Status

8/22/2005

	O 1 P E 4	PER WALL
12	TRADEMAN	\$ /

Which is a Division of 08/467,155 06-06-1995 Patented Child Continuity Data 09/605,175 filed on 06-28-2000 which is Abandoned claims the benefit of 09/201,038	This application is a Continuation of	08/628,198	04-05-1996	Patented
Child Continuity Data 09/605,175 filed on 06-28-2000 which is Abandoned claims the benefit	Which is a Division of	08/467,155	06-06-1995	Patented
09/605,175 filed on 06-28-2000 which is Abandoned claims the benefit		Child Cont	inuity Data	
09/605,175 filed on 06-28-2000 which is Abandoned claims the benefit				
	09/605,175 filed on 06-28-20	00 which is Ak	andoned claim	s the benefit of 09/201,038
10/021,368 filed on 12-12-2001 which is Pending claims the benefit of 09/201,038	10/021,368 filed on 12-12-2	2001 which is I	Pending claims	the benefit of 09/201,038

|.HOME|INDEX|SEARCH|eBUSINESS|CONTACT US|PRIVACY STATEMENT